



2021 ANNUAL REPORT REVIEW FORM

Note to reviewers: The primary purpose of the annual report review process is to make sure that CNME-accredited and candidate ND programs are addressing any outstanding recommendations within the specified time period, and that they are otherwise remaining in compliance with CNME accreditation standards and policies.

Primary Reviewer: Dr. Joni Olehausen Secondary Reviewer: Dr. Eileen Stretch

CCNM/BINM Merger

Recommendation adopted by the Council on May 13, 2021

Outstanding Recommendation

To consolidate previous BINM and CCNM findings into finding that pertain to the merged CCNM as follows:

Recommendation III-1: The team is concerned that while the 2021 merger of CCNM and BINM resulted in increased financial stability in the near term due to the cash reserves of the former BINM combined with asset represented by CCNM's Toronto campus, there are nonetheless potential financial risks to merged CCNM due to the lease expense associated with the New Westminster campus combined with CCNM's recent financial track record of developing only minimal financial reserves. The merged CCNM must, if it is to develop financial stability in the long-term, rapidly achieve a consistent, positive, annual financial performance across both campuses. (Std. III B.1 & Std. III.C.1)

Did information and/or documentation provided demonstrate progress in addressing the recommendation?

- Yes _____ (*There is evidence of progress*)
- No _____ (*There is no evidence of progress*)

Is there sufficient information and/or documentation to decide whether the recommendation has been fully addressed?

- Yes _____ (*Remove recommendation*)
- No _____ (*Require continued reporting*)

If the recommendation has not been fully addressed and the program will require more than two years (or the time period previously specified by the Council) to address it, has the program met the requirements for an extension based on "good cause" as stated in the

COUNCIL ON NATUROPATHIC MEDICAL EDUCATION

P.O. Box 178, Great Barrington, MA 01230 | 413.528.8877 | 413.528.8880 FAX

CNME Policy on Enforcement of Standards?

- *Yes _____ (Extend time period for _____ [not to exceed six months, if the program has already had two years to address the finding]; indicate the basis for this suggestion in the Comments section below)*
- *No _____ (Initiate immediate adverse action; indicate the basis for this suggestion in the Comments section below)*

If the time period for addressing the recommendation is extended, should the program be placed on a sanction (i.e., Letter of Advisement, Probation, or Show Cause)?

- *Yes _____ (Indicate the basis for this suggestion in the Comments section below)*
- *No _____ (Indicate the basis for this suggestion in the Comments section below)*

Outstanding Recommendation

Recommendation IV-1: The team is concerned that the overall small number of core/full-time faculty at both the New Westminster and Toronto campuses of CCNM impedes the program’s ability to effectively address the institution’s service needs, such as integration of the clinical and didactic portions of the curriculum, activities related to shared governance, participation in research and committee work, and so on. The program must increase the number of core/full-time faculty, including the appointment of core/full-time clinic faculty. (Std. IV.B.1 & Std. IV.B.2)

Did information and/or documentation provided demonstrate progress in addressing the recommendation?

- *Yes _____ (There is evidence of progress)*
- *No _____ (There is no evidence of progress)*

Is there sufficient information and/or documentation to decide whether the recommendation has been fully addressed?

- *Yes _____ (Remove recommendation)*
- *No _____ (Require continued reporting)*

If the recommendation has not been fully addressed and the program will require more than two years (or the time period previously specified by the Council) to address it, has the program met the requirements for an extension based on “good cause” as stated in the CNME Policy on Enforcement of Standards?

- *Yes _____ (Extend time period for _____ [not to exceed six months, if the program has already had two years to address the finding]; indicate the basis for this suggestion in the Comments section below)*

- *No* _____ (*Initiate immediate adverse action; indicate the basis for this suggestion in the Comments section below*)

If the time period for addressing the recommendation is extended, should the program be placed on a sanction (i.e., Letter of Advisement, Probation, or Show Cause)?

- *Yes* _____ (*Indicate the basis for this suggestion in the Comments section below*)
- *No* _____ (*Indicate the basis for this suggestion in the Comments section below*)

Areas of Interest adopted by the Council on May 13, 2021

Outstanding Area of Interest

None

Residency Program Findings adopted by the Council on May 13, 2021

Outstanding Residency Program Findings

None

Reviewer's Comments

*Use this section—and attach additional sheets if necessary—for the following purposes:
 (1) to explain the basis for your conclusions regarding the recommendations and areas of interest above, and
 (2) to note any problematic areas in the report that may indicate a new compliance issue with
 CNME's accreditation standards and policies.*