



Annual Report Review Form for Outstanding Recommendations and Reviewer Observations

2013 Annual Report

Primary Reviewer: Nancy Scarlett \_\_\_\_\_ Secondary Reviewer: Lance Blackshaw \_\_\_\_\_

Southwest College of Naturopathic Medicine
Recommendations from the 2013 Comprehensive Visit for Reaccreditation

Outstanding Recommendations

#1. VI-1. The team is concerned that (i) the current level of patient volume at the on-campus clinic varies greatly from supervisor to supervisor, leaving some rotations lacking sufficient patient volume and variety, and that (ii) the current overall volume will be insufficient to meet the needs of the student clinicians as the size of the student body grows. The team recommends that the college find ways to increase the overall patient volume and variety and to make the clinical experience more consistent among rotations. (Std. VI.C.5.d)

Did information and/or documentation provided demonstrate progress in addressing the recommendation? Yes [ ] There is evidence of progress No [ ] There is no evidence of progress
Is there sufficient information and/or documentation to decide whether the recommendation has been fully addressed? Yes [ ] Remove recommendation No [ ] Require continued reporting

#2. X-1. The team is concerned that the amount and type of research activities available to students are not accurately portrayed in college publications. The team recommends that this situation be expeditiously corrected. (Std. X.A.1)

Did information and/or documentation provided demonstrate progress in addressing the recommendation? Yes [ ] There is evidence of progress No [ ] There is no evidence of progress
Is there sufficient information and/or documentation to decide whether the recommendation has been fully addressed? Yes [ ] Remove recommendation No [ ] Require continued reporting

Reviewer's Notes

Use this section—and attach additional sheets if necessary—for the following purposes:
(1) to explain the basis for your conclusions regarding the recommendations and areas of interest above, and
(2) to note any problematic areas in the report that may indicate a compliance issue with CNME's accreditation standards and policies: